



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,073	04,073 11/01/2000		Ludovic Hauduc	06576.105030-MS No. 15466	3930
20786	7590	06/07/2004		EXAMI	MINER
KING & SI 191 PEACH			LIN, WEN TAI		
ATLANTA,		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ĺ				2154	<b>3.</b>
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	<u>'</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

				>
	App	plication No.	Applicant(s)	
		/704,073	HAUDUC ET AL.	
Office Action Summ	ary Exa	aminer	Art Unit	
		n-Tai Lin	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI  Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of  If the period for reply specified above is less that  If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply within sximum statutory period will app d for reply will, by statute, cause emonths after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may the statutory minimum of ly and will expire SIX (6) No	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. NONTHS from the mailing date of this community	unication.
Status	,			
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 01 Novem	nber 2000		
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action			
3) Since this application is in col	<u>-</u>		atters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending i	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)		om consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	b by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11/1/2		oted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that a				
			ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non-	e of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
			A a all a all and	
application from the Inte			en received in this National Stag	je
* See the attached detailed Office			ot received.	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	nvious (PTC 040)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action St	ummary	Part of Paper No./Mai	

Application/Control Number: 09/704,073 Page 2

Art Unit: 2783

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-10 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are objected to because the phrase "the language pack software modules" in claim 1 appears to lack antecedent basis.
- 3. Claims 9 are objected to because the word "to" in the clause containing " ... content to for rendering ..." in claim 9 appears to be redundant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furst [U.S. Pat. No. 6297819] in view of Begley et al.(hereafter "Begley")[U.S. Pat. No. 6360246].

Application/Control Number: 09/704,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 2783

6. As to claims 1 and 3, Furst teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: a method for receiving an application with supplemental script content in a desired language from a server comprising the steps of:

- using a client to access the application on the server [col.2, lines 5 –30];
- determining a language preference for the client;
- converting the content of the application on the server to the client's language
   preference by using the selected language pack software module on the server
   corresponding to the client's language preference; and
- transmitting the converted content of the application from the server to the client.

[See col.11, line 65 – col.12, line 5 for the above features, wherein the transmitting step is an inherent to Furst's method]

Furst does not specifically teach downloading a component object from a selected one of the language pack software modules on the server to the client for converting supplemental content at the client; and retrieving supplemental content from the application for conversion on the client by the component object and processing by a client script.

However Begley teaches that a user may request reports containing data that is dynamically generated at request time [Abstract] and one way to generate the dynamic report is using ASP (Active Server Pages) engine by embedding special program codes in a HTML page, which is then rendered by the browser residing on a client computer [col.4, lines 3-20].

Art Unit: 2783

In view of the fact that Furst's could have requested a dynamically generated web page that needs to be translated into a preferred language, the generation of such page would therefore be converted at the server, leaving the dynamic portions (i.e., the supplemental content) to be processed (including translation of the relevant portions into the preferred language) via special program codes (i.e., a component object for additional translation and client script for dynamic processing) at the client side.

As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Furst and Begley by providing Begley's teaching about dynamic webpage rendering process as a supplemental processing step of Furst's language translation steps because (1) presenting dynamic web pages presented in various written languages is a popular trend and (2) by providing Furst's "real-time" translation is more efficient than pre-storing copies of translated webpages in a database.

Note that the additional steps depicted in claim 3 are considered obvious steps necessary to rendering a dynamic web page in a specified language.

- 7. As to claims 4-7 and 9-10, since the features of these claims can also be found in claims 1, 3 and 5, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 3 and 5 above.
- 8. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furst [U.S. Pat. No. 6297819], as applied to claims 1, 3-7 and 9-10 above and Begley et

Application/Control Number: 09/704,073

Art Unit: 2783

al.(hereafter "Begley")[U.S. Pat. No. 6360246], as applied to claims 1, 3-7 and 9-10

above, further in view of Official Notice.

9. As to claim 2, Furst and Begley do not specifically teach transmission of

compressed component object.

However Official Notice is taken that compressing a transmitted object over the

Internet is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to have applied appropriate compression

technique to Furst and Begley's component object because by doing so the

transmission time could be much reduced.

10. As to claim 8, since the features of this claim can also be found in claims 1-2, 5

and 7, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2, 5 and 7

above.

11. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three)

months and 0 days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period

for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133,

M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/704,073 Page 6

Art Unit: 2783

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Tai Lin whose telephone number is (703)305-4875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

> (703)872-9306 for official communications; and (703)746-5516 for status inquires draft communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900. Wen Ja F. 6/2/04

Wen-Tai Lin

June 2, 2004